Contact Authors Donate News Bookstore Forums 50.50

Login / Register

Search

There are six ingredients to my identity, someone will hate me for one of them - I am Wafa Fa-houm, a lawyer, an Arab, a Muslim, a woman, and an Israeli - Wafa Fahoum



COLUMNS

Paul Rogers

Global security

Li Datong

China from the inside

Fred Halliday

Global politics

Mary Kaldor

Human security

Daniele Archibugi

Cosmopolitan democracy

EMAIL & RSS

Sign up to oD's editorial summaries email:

Enter your Email

Subscribe me!

Powered by FeedBlitz

Follow oD on Twitter:

twitter Join our Facebook

group: facebook Add oD to your Netvibes: netvibes openDemocracy likes:

wikia Search 🥰

NAVIGATION

categories

WHO'S LINKING?

The global web of fear (Kellaway ft.com) Shapes of Time - using TALMOS Gateway: Guernica

Greensengage on ME ME ME

SOCIALIST UNITY » PROGRESSIVE LONDON - THE BLOG REACTION

Web Debate as Self-Obsessed Point-Scoring - Ideas Blog -NYTimes.com

RECENT COMMENTS

ou best go take your 29 min 20 sec ago This is an excellent 36 min 31 sec ago Mr. Wieland qualifies as 41 min 54 sec ago I am far from seeing the

1 hour 1 min ago The former Soviet

1 hour 19 min ago One good thing about home → middle east → conflicts → institutions & government

email this story

pdf version

The Gaza war and the Syria-Israel front

The strategic and diplomatic fallout from the war Gaza leaves the future of a major regional peace initiative open, says Carsten Wieland.

The strategic and political reverberations of Israel's military operation in Gaza are being felt across the middle east. Amid intense diplomatic efforts to end the continuing violence and to agree a framework for Gaza's reconstruction, all the major players involved in the core Israeli-Palestinian conflict - including the United States and Iran - are sifting through the rubble in search of opportunity.

How, then, will the three-week war affect the course of developments in the region in 2009? The answer to this question depends in turn partly on an assessment of the likely consequences of the Gaza events for the previously "secret" Israel-Syria talks, whose revelation was one of the major stories of 2008 (see "The Syria-Israel talks: old themes, new setting", 27 May 2008).

A walk on ice

The timing of the war was in narrow terms well calculated by its architects. Israel's campaign began on 27 December 2008, near enough to the scheduled general election in Israel to have a political impact; and ended on 17 January 2009, thus avoiding any overlap with the moment Barack Obama stepped into the White House three days later.

At the same time, the results have been inconclusive for Israel - even in terms of its immediate aim of preventing Hamas's (or other militias') rockets being fired into it from Gaza. Its wider strategic implications. moreover, are far from comforting (see Paul Rogers, "After Gaza: Israel's last chance", 17 January 2009).

When it was revealed in May 2008 that Turkey had been mediating the process between the two bitter enemies for a year, the news was welcomed with relief by many observers. Now, after Gaza, the talks are on ice. The Syrian government bitterly condemned the Israeli attacks, and the fallout of the destruction included a spectacular public criticism of Israel by Turkey's prime minister, Recep Tayyip Erdogan, at the World Economic Forum in Davos.

The immediate "fronts" on both the Israeli and Syrian sides - and across the region - also seem as hardened as ever, a condition if anything accentuated by the internal politics of (pre-election) Israel and of the Palestinians in the wake of Hamas's survival of an intense assault (see Khaled Hroub, "Hamas after the Gaza war", 15 January 2009).

The war has also made the calculations of the incoming administration in Washington more fluid. It became clear in think-tank circles there towards the end of 2008 - but before the attack on Gaza - that Barack Obama intended to begin his middle-east policy via the "Syrian front". The idea was to create a more peaceful (or at least predictable) neighbourhood for Israel before tackling the increasingly complex Palestinian problem. A part of this reasoning was to loosen Syria's alliance with Iran by returning to Damascus the Golan heights, occupied by Israel since 1967; this would have the additional benefit of weakening Hizbollah in Lebanon.

The diplomatic fallout from Gaza for the moment complicates this line of thinking. How far it may be pursued in 2009, as was planned, now also depends on the evolving regional dynamics across the middle east (see Khaled Hroub, "The 'Arab system' after Gaza", 27 January 2009).

GAZA

Steve Kamlish (QC) returns from Gaza and asks about proportionality

Israel's only way out (Jim Sleeper, TPM Cafe) Cash-starved Gaza (Ft.com)

Israel's logic, Hamas' reason (LRB · Henry Siegman)

You can triumph yourslef to death. (LRB contributors on Gaza

more

THE WORLD

Obama must nationalise US banks (Wolf, ft.com) Somali pirates said to be leaving Ukrainian weapons ship (NYTimes.com)

Obama's banker pay ceiling is right ... but soft (John Gapper, ft.com) Chart-topping. The crisis in music (Kreidler, YouTube)

Sufis, the US, and extremism (The Boston Globe)

more

RUSSIA

A Russian corporal deserts to Georgia Varvara Pakhomenko Georgia and Russia, again, Thomas de Waal Georgia war: auditing the damage, Tanya Lokshina Politkovskava's murder:

solved or fudged?, Maryana Torocheshnikova

more

His website is here

Islamism and 'Pax

Carsten Wieland is

a historian and

specialising in

Syria and the

middle east. He

lived in Damascus

for several years,

and worked as a

correspondent for

DPA in Tel Aviv.

Svria: Ballots or

Bullets?

Democracy.

Islamism, and

Levant (Cune

Svria at Bav:

Americana' (C

Hurst. 2006).

Secularism.

Secularism in the

Press, 2006) and

His books include

political scientist.

Also by Carsten Wieland in ${\bf openDemocracy:} "Syria " {\bf S}_{aving} \ {\bf WSF_from}$ quagmire, al-Assad's tunnel' (9 November

"The Syrian conundrum" (16 April 2007)

2006)

"The Syria-Israel talks: old themes. new setting" (27 May 2008)

OPENECONOMICS

Solomon: wisdom or luck?, Tony Curzon Price Globalisation, protectionism and social democracy in one country, Tony Curzon Price

irrelevance, Fernanda Polacow

ZADEK BLOGS DAVOS



Sand into snow Slow motion activism Blame for NGOs Do prices count? Need new governance

Polacow blogs WSF

05.02.2009 23:50 1 von 5

3 hours 46 min ago I liked this article as an 3 hours 53 min ago Don't stop there! Reform 4 hours 31 min ago A can of worms it is. And 4 hours 40 min ago I also think the old 5 hours 15 min ago



MOST DISCUSSED THIS MONTH

- · Gaza: the war after the war
- · A crisis of dignity in Gaza
- The politics of ME, ME ME
- Israel and Gaza rhetoric and reality
- · Hamas after the Gaza
- · Israel's Gaza war: five asymmetries
- · Israel's politics of war
- Barack Obama and the American void
- NGOs in Gaza: humanitarianism vs
- Israel Gaza and international law

A lost chance

In many respects the news of the Syria-Israel talks in May 2008 should not have come as a surprise. The states were in contact frequently since the Madrid peace talks in 1993 even in times of high crisis such as after the United States-led invasion of Iraq in 2003. In many ways, everything that has to be discussed by the countries already has. Many possible compromises have been proposed regarding the few dozen hectares of land that are the core issue of dispute, including transforming the Golan into a demilitarised nature-park with access for both sides.

The situation in the early months of 2009 seems less favourable to a settlement than did the same period in 2000 - when Syria's ailing president, Hafez al-Assad (who was to die in June that year, and be succeeded by his son Bashar) met Israel's prime minister Ehud Barak (now defence minister, and notably hawkish during the Gaza war).

The two sides convened at Shepherdstown, West Virginia. For a short time, Israel was ready to return the Golan heights in exchange for far-reaching concessions by Syria in the areas of security and the normalisation of relations. But at the end of the negotiations, Barak fearing public opinion at home - pulled back. Hafez al-Assad considered this an affront, and refused to concede a single metre east of Lake Galilee. A golden opportunity was wasted.

A fist of four

Indeed, there are four reasons that make a rapprochement between the two sides more unlikely today than in 2000 - yet (below) seven reasons why cautious hope is still justified.

The four more pessimistic factors are as follows:

First, Israel has experienced consistent shelling from the Gaza strip since its withdrawal from Gaza in August 2005. The new Israeli government formed after the election on 12 February 2009 will in these circumstances have a harder time selling to its voters the idea of giving up another stretch of occupied land - namely, the Golan heights.

The way that Hizbollah renewed its strength in southern Lebanon after Israel's withdrawal in May 2000 - and again quickly rebuilt its force levels following the July-August 2006 war - reinforces the Israeli public's sense of insecurity. This makes it even more essential that security arrangements and promises are credible. But trust between Tel Aviv and Damascus, despite the Turkey-mediated talks, remains low.

Second, the governments of Israel and Syria are weaker today than in 2000. They are alike confronted with threatening domestic enemies (in Israel, a strong opposition and splintered party landscape; in Syria, hostile groups who threaten domestic peace). In order to succeed, peace talks need governments strong enough to keep their promises and convince their populations to accept an agreement even against prevailing public sentiments. In addition, the infirmity of the George W Bush administration for several years before its departure has had negative effects on peace prospects in the middle east.

Third, Syria has since the war in Iraq began in March 2003 increasingly drifted towards alliances with anti-western actors: mainly its traditional ally Iran, but further afield with Venezuela and North Korea. This has been in large part the result of a lack of of foreign-policy alternatives in the context of Washington's polarising and exclusionary approach. It will be very difficult now to persuade Syria to break from allies that have stood by its side in threatening times.

Fourth, Syria's alliances with Iran and Hizbollah have acquired particular importance for Syria since the early 2000s. Hizbollah's political weight in the fragile fabric of Lebanon has markedly increased (see Robert G Rabil, "Hizbollah and Lebanon: the curse of a state", 21 May 2008). Indeed, it is arguable that Syria today is more dependent on Hizbollah than vice-versa, a reversal of the situation when Hafez al-Assad was in power.

In addition, both western and Israeli politicians have demanded that Damascus stop sheltering radical Palestinian organisations and leaders. In September 2008, some media outlets reported that Hamas leader Khaled Mashal would be asked to leave Syria. This has not yet happened - and the war in Gaza has made it less likely.

Lula's not in Davos Forum must speak up about economy

OPENINDIA

Sri Lanka under siege, Meenakshi Ganguly Afghanistan and Pakistan: recommendations for Obama, Karin von Hippel Rick Barton Humanitarian crisis in Sri Lanka as fighting continues, Lottie Hamer more

Amona

2008-09:

2009)

openDemocracy's

articles on the

Paul Rogers,

"Gaza: hope after

attack" (1 January

Ghassan Khatib

an endgame" (6

January 2009)

"Gaza: outlines of

Avi Shlaim, "Israel

and Gaza: rhetoric

and reality" (7

January 2009)

Paul Rogers,

United States

connection" (7

January 2009)

Tarek Osman,

"Egypt's dilemma:

Gaza and beyond"

(12 January 2009)

Mary Robinson, "A

crisis of dianity in

Gaza" (13 January

Paul Rogers,

"Gaza: the wider

war" (13 January

Menachem Kellner,

"Israel's Gaza war:

five asymmetries"

(14 January 2009)

Khaled Hroub.

Gaza war" (15

January 2009)

Jordan, "The

Prince Hassan of

failure of force: an

alternative option"

(16 January 2009)

Paul Rogers, "After

Gaza: Israel's last

chance" (17 January 2009)

"Hamas after the

2009)

2009)

"Gaza: the Israel-

Gaza conflict of

POLYMEME

It's Just Garbage MoMA Targets Brooklynites U.S. Debt Default, Dollar Collapse Altogether Likely Bolivia has lithium, and the president intends to make world pay for it The rising tide of economic nationalism

OPENUSA LATEST

Afghanistan and Pakistan: recommendations for Obama, Karin von Hippel Rick Barton The missing element of Obama's economic plan, Steven Hill American void, lonely president, Simon Critchley more

OURKINGDOM LATEST

The acid eating away at our democracy, Peter Facey The case of Binvam Mohamed, John Jackson Struggling for rights, defending our liberties, Patrick Corrigan more

50.50

A joint call for reconciliation from Palestinian and Israeli Families

A message from Israel: the time for women is now

A message from Lebanon by Zoya Rouhana

Syrian Women's Rights: "the fight does not stop here"

Iraqi Women Refugees: Surviving in Syria

TERRORISM

Aid for Gaza seized by Hamas police

A hand of seven

2 von 5 05.02.2009 23:50 These four factors reflect the greater insecurity in the region situation since 2000. Yet there are seven reasons for cautious optimism.

First, political developments in Israel-Palestine also favour negotiations with Syria. After the Gaza war, Israel's situation with the Palestinians seems even less solvable; and in Palestine's more fissured political as well as territorial landscape, Fatah has lost ground to its more militant rival Hamas. This encourages leading decision-makers in Israel's political and intelligence community to voice support for negotiations with Syria.

Some politicians, such as prime minister Ehud Olmert and foreign minister Tzipi Livni, favour a "two-track" approach that deals with Syria and the Palestinians at the same time. But even if the hard-right Likud leader Benjamin Netanyahu becomes prime minister after the 12 February election, there is a possibility that negotiations with Syria could advance, if only to settle one flank and be able to pursue a tough line on the Palestinian issue.

Second, it is an advantage that the Syrian and the Palestinian portfolios have been separated. In May 2003, Bashar al-Assad picked up the secret concession his father had made at Shepherdstown in January 2000 - and declared his readiness to accept any decision by the Palestinian leadership in peace negotiations with Israel. This implies that Syria could be ready for peace with Israel even before the Palestinian question is satisfactorily resolved.

Third, also in 2003 Syria ended its practice of stating preconditions before resuming negotiations with Israel. This implied that Syria would get back the whole Golan within its 1967 borders, a promise first given by Israel's then prime minister Yitzhak Rabin. Damascus's greater flexibility offers a further modicum of hope for progress.

Fourth, neither Israel nor the United States currently has an interest in a forced regime-change in Damascus. The bitter experience of the US war in Iraq has empowered a pragmatic-realistic school that considers a dictatorial but secular regime in Syria to be better than intervention which creates the probability of ethno-religious violence and a political vacuum perhaps to be filled by radical Islamists and terrorists.

Fifth, the fact that a regional actor like Turkey has come to play a pivotal role in the Syria-Israel talks has introduced a new dynamic. Washington and Brussels at different times and for different reasons failed as mediators in the middle east. Now, for the first time, a region-centred constellation has a chance.

Sixth, however, the negotiations will require some United States involvement. Syria especially puts great stress on the need for any peace deal to be in the end mediated and guaranteed by Washington. In January 2009, Bashar al-Assad again underlined (in an interview with *Der Spiegel*) that he was ready to cooperate with Barack Obama.

The Israeli political scientist and diplomat Shlomo Avineri has pointed out too (in an article for the Heinrich Böll Foundation) that Washington plays its most influential mediating role in the middle east in one of two scenarios: when it gets involved in a situation of open war, or when contending local parties have already laid the groundwork for negotiations but need external pressure to clinch the deal. The latter scenario could be on the horizon.

Seventh, Syria has in the second half of 2008 taken remarkable steps to end its isolation from the west and prepare the way for future negotiations. For example, it normalised relations with Lebanon: this included exchanging ambassadors for the first time in the history of these states, regulating open-border issues, and declaring a commitment to non-intervention in each other's internal affairs. Damascus's changed attitude has calmed Beirut's long political crisis.

A fine balance

A number of indications suggest that Syria's toughest period of isolation is over. They include invitations to attend the political meetings of western groups, such as the European Union summit in Paris on 12 July 2008 that founded the Mediterranean Union (see Fred Halliday, "Mediterranean mirage: Europe's sunken politics"). Even an academic delegation of Americans close to the Barack Obama camp visited Syria at the end of 2008.

Damascus has also tried to please Washington with stricter controls at the Syrian-Iraqi border in order to prevent the infiltration of Islamist militants. It may also be that the Syrian secret service knew of and tolerated the controversial US military operation in the vicinity of Abu Kamal, eastern Syria, in October 2008, which killed several Syrian citizens. Only when the mission had obviously failed and created innocent victims forced Damascus to express indignation. In any case, Syria and the United States have a common historic interest that could come to the forefront again: the fight against radical Islamism and its

Martin Shaw, "Israel's politics of war" (19 January 2009)

Conor Gearty, "Israel, Gaza and international law" (21 January 2009)

Paul Rogers, "Gaza: the war after the war" (22 January 2009)

Khaled Hroub, "The 'Arab system' after Gaza" (27 January 2009)

Hugo Slim, "NGOs in Gaza: humanitarianism vs politics" (30 January 2009)

Lucy Nusseibeh, "The four lessons of Gaza" (4 February 2009)

3 von 5 05.02.2009 23:50

terrorist outgrowths.

But Bashar al-Assad has, like his father, many interests to balance. He must at the same time preserve Syria's alliance with Iran and Hizbollah and pursue a rapprochement with the United States and the European Union; maintain influence in Lebanon while accommodating the interests of Iran and Saudi Arabia; allowing Syrian *jihadis* the safety-valve of access to Iraq while pursuing good relations with Baghdad and Washington; nurturing ties with Hamas and Hizbollah yet negotiating peace with Israel.

The international situation is more complex than during the cold war, and Bashar al-Assad lacks some of the political instincts of his wily father. But he has managed to widen his room of manoeuvre by well-judged and important concessions. The political reforms he announced when he came to power in 2000 were under more pressing conditions of regional instability and domestic opposition succeeded by a focus on tight internal security. The suffocation of any internal opposition, no matter how moderate and secular, has been another negative repercussions of Syria's isolation. A diplomatic opening could also have internal political benefits that include a greater measure of freedom for elements of Syria's civil society.

It will be another hard balancing-act. Yet because Syria has the potential to be both problem and solution in the Levant, it remains indispensable to any plan for regional peace and security. At present, the time for successful peace negotiations with Israel is not yet ripe. The protagonists are interested, but not yet strong enough to contemplate the painful compromises and secure the political backing that will be necessary.

But the progress made in 2008 may yet survive the destruction and embitterment of the Gaza war, and bear fruit in 2009. Syria and Israel are, in the right conditions, ready to move. When the domestic situations in Israel and the United States have consolidated, further steps could follow. Until then, the negotiations are in the safe hands of Turkey.

Average rating Rate this:

(1 vote)



READ ON

Carsten Wieland, Syria at Bay: Secularism, Islamism and 'Pax Americana' (C Hurst, 2006)

Carsten Wieland

Marina Ottaway et al., *The New Middle East* (CEIP, 2008)

Fred Halliday, *The Middle East in International Relations* (Cambridge University Press, 2005)



This article is published by Carsten Wieland, , and openDemocracy.net under a Creative Commons licence. You may republish it free of charge with attribution for non-commercial purposes following these guidelines. If you teach at a university we ask that your department make a donation. Commercial media

must contact us for permission and fees. Some articles on this site are published under different terms.

Comments

Comment viewing options

Threaded list - expanded Date - newest first 90 comments per page Save settings
Select your preferred way to display the comments and click "Save settings" to activate your changes.

syed mahdi (not verified) said:

Thu, 2009-02-05 22:02 Mr. Wieland qualifies as Grand Master in (Middle East) Chess. Unfortunately for him and fortunately for the Arabs, the Middle East is not a chess board and chess pieces do not move on the whim of the players. The real game is much more complex and unpredictable because every piece on the real board are humans, with bodies, brains, feelings, desires and intelligence and hence the moves they make are their own individual or collective moves which, in principle, can not be controlled or ordered by grandmasters like the US, UK, France and Israel. And, thank God for that! In the "BODY" of the Real Middle East, there is Cancer, Israel, implanted

4 von 5 05.02.2009 23:50

reply email this comment

by UK, France and USA! The "Body" has been 'rejecting' this foreign body for over six decades but the cancer is stronger than the rejecting body, courtesy of US 'blank check' funding and arming and re-arming of Israel through the 'ultra-secret' "Israel Account" at CIA and openly through Foggy Botttom, Pentagon and AIPAC; billions of dollars. Since Cancer is cancer, it behaves and grows like cancer till such times it is removed surgically or cut down in size by radiation therapy or kills the body.

Jaap (not verified) said:

The Gaza war (or the Gaza massacre, as it is called by Arabs) was indeed well timed. The first Israeli provocation was at the time of the American presidential elections. On 4 November Israel killed six Palestinians.

Thu, 2009-02-05 16:41

Israel claimed the Palestinians wanted to abduct an Israeli soldier, and had already dug a tunnel for this purpose. However, if the Israeli's already knew this, the attempt at abduction would be futile anyway. If Hamas really wanted to do this Israel could wait for the Hamas men, arrest them, and exploit this in the media.

reply | email this comment

Post new comment

Your name: *
Not logged in
E-mail: *
The content of this field is kept private and will not be shown publicly.
Homepage:
Comment: *

- Allowed HTML tags: <a> <cite> <code> <dl> <dt> <dd> <dt> <dd> <i>
 <div>
- Lines and paragraphs break automatically.
- You may quote other posts using [quote] tags.

More information about formatting options | Preview comment | Post comment

5 von 5 05.02.2009 23:50